

Common Deficiencies-OGD Considerations

How to Resolve Current Challenges in ANDAs in Transdermal Delivery
System (TDS)

Complex Generic Drug Product Development Workshop

September 13, 2018

Ying Fan, PhD

Team Leader, ANDA Team

Division of Clinical Review, Office of Bioequivalence

Office of Generic Drugs

CDER | US FDA



Disclaimer

This presentation reflects the views of the author and should not be construed to represent FDA's views or policies.

Outline



- Overview of skin irritation, sensitization and adhesion (I/S/A) studies
- Common deficiencies identified in the review of I/S/A studies
- Summary

Overview of I/S/A Studies

- Goal: To demonstrate the irritation, sensitization potential and adhesion performance of proposed generic TDS (T) is not worse than the reference TDS (R) (non-inferiority test)

Overview of I/S/A Studies (cont.)

- Combined Irritation/Sensitization (I/S) Study
 - Multi-center, evaluator-blinded, randomized study
 - 6 weeks: Induction phase (21 days), rest period (14-17 days), challenge phase (5 days), re-challenge (if needed)
 - Treatment arms:
 - To-be-marketed T and R (comparison between the T and R)
 - Vehicle TDS (without active) and positive control (e.g., 0.1% sodium lauryl sulfate)

Overview of I/S/A Studies (cont.)

- Adhesion alone study or combined Adhesion/pharmacokinetics (PK) study
 - Single-dose, randomized, two-treatment, two-period crossover study
 - Treatment arms: to-be-marketed T and R
 - Duration: maximum labeled duration of wear of the R

Easily Correctable Deficiency (ECD) or Information Request (IR) in 2015-2017 for I/S/A Studies in ANDAs



	Reasons for ECD or IR	Percentage *
1.	Clarification/Justification	75.8%
2.	Formulation Related Issues	24.2%
3.	Missing Case Report Form	12.1%
4.	Missing Dataset or Dataset Definition Files	9.1%

* The total percentage is higher than 100% because one ECD/IR could contain multiple reasons

ECD/IR for Clarification and Justification



- Patch detachment information in I/S study
- Inconsistent information (e.g., between the study report and case report form, between the dataset and data definition file)
- Conflicting study results when multiple studies were conducted
 - Provide the explanation how the overall conclusion was made

ECD/IR for Clarification and Justification (cont.)

- Different from guidance recommendations
 - Examples:
 - Use different scales: Convert to the scales that guidance recommended and submit the study result
 - Patch moved prior to reaching the excessive irritation score (e.g., irritation score less than 3): Provide explanation when it happens

ECD/IR for Formulation Related Issues



- Formulation and manufacturing process for the proposed generic TDS and vehicle TDS
- Justification of inactive ingredients if different than the Reference Listed Drug
- Clarification on whether the to-be-marketed formulation was used in the studies

ECD/IR for Missing Case Report Forms



- Needed for subjects who were removed from the analysis population, demonstrated protocol deviations or violations, experienced serious adverse events, deaths
- Recommend for all subjects but minimum of 10%

ECD/IR for Missing Dataset and Data Definition Files



- Examples:
 - Datasets in SAS. xpt format
 - Adverse events
 - Concomitant medications
 - Medical history
 - Reasons for subject discontinuation from the study
 - Data definition files for all variables

Summary

- Understand the goal and study design of I/S/A studies
- Refer to product specific guidances and general guidance on the assessment of adhesion
- Other approaches may be acceptable but require justification
- Provide justification/clarification or other information in original ANDA submission

References

- [Product Specific Recommendations for Generic Drug Development](#)
- [Assessing Adhesion with Transdermal Delivery Systems and Topical Patches for ANDAs](#)
- [Guidance for Industry ANDA Submissions – Amendments to Abbreviated New Drug Applications Under GDUFA](#)
- [ANDA Submissions-Refuse to Receive Standards Rev.2 Guidance for Industry](#)